

Status of Consultee	Comments	Section of Policy	Officer Comments and Recommendations
Parish Council	Having looked through the documents and amendments our Members commented the amendments seem sensible and simplify the process	Whole Policy	Comments noted
Sheerness Town Councillor	<p>Street trading can add variety and vibrancy to the character of this Borough as well as diversity of shopping opportunities. The aim of the Council is to give consent to traders who will help to create a vibrant street scene which complements retail activity, community events and activities."</p> <p>I was very pleased to see this aim at the beginning of your new regulations - It is vital that community organisations are able to invite one off traders Cheaply/ freely with a minimum of hurdles so that we can hold exciting events in our high streets &amp; town centres - Unfortunately I did not manage to read the whole of the document!! Perhaps you could briefly Explain that it will now be possible to have Craft and similar stalls as it was in the past when we could apply for a single licence for a number of stalls at our events. It would really help us to be able to be able to organise our events with a minimum of bureaucracy, as you can imagine most of us are volunteers and there is enough work to do organising the event without additional obstacles. This will make it possible for us ( Small organisations) to "create a vibrant street scene" and put on exciting Community events in</p>	Whole Policy	<p>The reason for requiring individual traders to obtain their own street trading consent is to protect event organisers from being legally accountable and the only person(s) that the Council could take enforcement action against, should enforcement action be necessary.</p> <p>Fees for 'one-off' street trading consent were deliberately set very low at £10 for up to 4 days trading at a maximum of 12 times per year.</p> <p>Additionally, application forms for 'one-off' traders have been simplified to make the application process as streamlined as possible.</p> <p>Event organisers merely have to inform prospective traders of the need to apply individually to the Council for a Street Trading Consent and then confirm that such a consent has been obtained so we think that the 'bureaucracy' has been kept to a minimum.</p>

	our towns as I have been doing for the past 20 years & I assume Swale BC wishes us to do in the future.		
One-Off Event Organiser	Just to confirm Community Heritage Events welcomes the opportunity to comment, and supports the amendments introduced to facilitate the staging of the Faversham Hop Festival.		Comments Noted
One-Off Event Organiser	<p>We would like to disagree with this change because we believe the requirement to have DBS checks is completely excessive for street traders, and has put off several people from attending our Switch On Day Christmas market.</p> <p>DBS checks are meant to be for people who will have unsupervised access to children or vulnerable adults. It is practically impossible for someone at a market stall in broad daylight to have unsupervised access to children who in all probability are standing there next to their parents.</p> <p>Even staff working in shops frequented by young school children do not need DBS checks, despite far greater opportunities for unsupervised access. The DBS requirement for market traders should not exceed that of ordinary shops, which is that it is not required.</p> <p>So, in terms of this proposed amendment, instead of penalising those under eighteen years of age because of an unnecessary check, it is the</p>	Section 5.1.	<p>The requirement for a DBS check is now intended to be for those applicants who want 6-monthly or annual consents only. Applicants for one-off street trading consents will no longer require a DBS check.</p> <p>With regards to the minimum age of applicants being 18 years or over this is because a DBS check cannot be obtained for a minor and therefore does not apply for one-off event applications where applicants who are 17 years of age would be accepted.</p>

	<p>unnecessary check that should give way.</p> <p>We agree with the sentiment that applications should be "light touch"</p>	<p>Section 5.3.3</p>	<p>Comments Noted</p>